United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/709,971	•	06/10/2004	Ryoji Kaneko	SIMTEK6915	3970	
25776	7590	09/05/2006		EXAMINER.		
ERNEST A	A. BEUT	LER, ATTORNEY	PRESTON, ERIK D			
10 RUE MA		E	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEWI ORI	DEI ICII,	011 72000		2834		
		·		DATE MAILED: 09/05/200	6 ·	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/709,971	KANEKO, RYOJI					
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Erik D. Preston	2834					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app							
This application is abandoned in view of:							
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on <u>07 August 2006</u> (with a Certif expiration of the period for reply (including a total external (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does 	ficate of Mailing or Transmission date nsion of time of <u>5</u> month(s)) which e	ed), which is after the xpired on 20 July 2006.					
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection							
application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);	•					
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).							
(d) No reply has been received.							
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of 							
Allowance (PTOL-85).							
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance		CER 1 18(d) is \$					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.							
(o) 11,0 10000 100 und publication 100; ii applicatio, mae m							
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 	·						
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	after the expiration of the period for reply.						
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.							
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire interest, or all of					
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.							
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair		e the period for seeking court review					
7. The reason(s) below:	KARL TAMAN PRIMARY EXAMINE	R					
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	aw the holding of abandonment under 37	CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to					

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Communication Dev Annual	10/709,971	KANEKO, RYOJI						
Communication Re: Appeal	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Erik D. Preston	2834						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
1. The Notice of A ppeal filed on is not acceptable because:								
(a) 🔲 it was not timely filed.								
(b) the statutory fee for filing the appeal was not submitted. See 37 CFR 41.20(b)(1).								
(c) The appeal fee received on was not timely filed.								
(d) the submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. The appeal fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(1) is \$								
(e) The appeal is not in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31(a)(1) in that no claim has been twice rejected.								
(f) a Notice of Allowability, PTO-37, was mailed by the Office on								
2. ☑ The appeal brief filed on 07 August 2006 is NOT acceptable for the reason(s) indicated below:								
(a) ⊠ the brief and/or brief fee is untimely. See 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
(b) the statutory fee for filing the brief has not been submitted. See 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2).								
(c) ☐ the submitted brief fee of \$ is insufficient. The brief fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2) is \$								
The appeal in this application will be dismissed unless corrective action is taken to timely submit the brief and requisite fee. See 37 CFR 41.37(a)(1). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). See 37 CFR 41.37(e).								
3. ☑ The appeal in this application is DISMISSED because:								
(a) [] the statutory fee for filing the brief as required under 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2) was not timely submitted and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136(a) has expired.								
(b) ⊠ the brief was not timely filed and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136(a) has expired.								
(c) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed on								
(d)								
4. Because of the dismissal of the appeal, this application:								
(a) 🔲 is abandoned because there are no allowed claims.								
(b) is before the examiner for final disposition because it contains allowed claims. Prosecution on the merits remains CLOSED.								
(c) is before the examiner for consideration	KARL	TAMPAU						
	PRIMARY E							